## SEP 2 0 700 ES

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

8

T	RANS	SM	IT	TA	L
	FC	R	M		

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 10/645,284

Filing Date August 21, 2003

First Named Inventor Jimmy A. Parks, et al.

Group Art Unit 3641

Examiner Name Troy Chambers

Attorney Docket No. 2370.ACTI.NP

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Armendment / Response	Extension of Time Requestmonth  Fee Calculation Table Information Disclosure Statement Form 1449 Copies of IDS References Issue Fee Transmittal & Advance Order  Remarks	yea □ Missing □ Notifica Address ⊠ Return	Parts Response Ition of Change of Attorney S & Docket Number Postcard Ition & Power of Attorney					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT								
4 Triad P. O. B Salt Lai	B. Bateman, Registration No. 37,77 Center, Suite 825 ox 1319 ke City, Utah 84110 33-0329 telephone; (801) 533-0323							
Signature Signature	hot sell	Date	09-16-04					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, on the date indicated below, in an envelope addresses to Mail Stop Amendment - No Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.								
Typed or Printed Name Randall B. Bateman								
Signature Sanol J & Date 09-16-04								





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Jimmy A. Parks et al.

U.S. Serial Number:

10/645,284

Filed:

August 21, 2003

Group:

3641

Examiner:

**Troy Chambers** 

For:

PROJECTILE RETRIEVAL SYSTEM

Attorney Docket:

2370.ACTI.NP

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service via Express Mail addressed to: Commissioner For Patents

Box 1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

**AND AMENDMENT** 

Dear Sir:

In response to the election requirement dated September 14, 2004, Applicant respectfully elects Group 1 (Claims 1-17) with traverse. Applicant submits that the apparatus claims and the method claims are closely related that it would conserve resources for all of the claims to be considered at once.

With respect to the species designation, Applicants elect the species shown in FIG. 3, although Applicant is unclear why the Examiner views the variations to constitute distinct species. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-17 are shown by the embodiment in FIG. 3.

Please amend the Application as follows: